



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/719,428

11/21/2003

Vincent J. Zimmer

INTEL/17852

3414

34431

7590

04/21/2008

HANLEY, FLIGHT & ZIMMERMAN, LLC
150 S. WACKER DRIVE
SUITE 2100
CHICAGO, IL 60606

EXAMINER

SHIFERAW, ELENI A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2136

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/21/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/719,428	Applicant(s) ZIMMER ET AL.	
	Examiner ELENI A. SHIFERAW	Art Unit 2136	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 November 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claims Status

1. Claims 1-32 are presented for examination and pending.

Response to Amendment

2. Applicant amends claims 1-3, 5-8, 11-13, 15-17, 21-24, 26-27, and 31-32. The amendments do not put the application for allowance. The previously applied reference Kuznetsov (5483649) discloses the amended limitation, as explained below.

Kuznetsov teaches a method of protecting software files on a personal computer from inadvertent distortion. The personal computer comprises hardware module and protection software, wherein the software has a kernel which ensures the security of access path to the hard disk controller and blocks unauthorized access paths to the hard disk (see col. 4 lines 48-60). Access to the hard disk is requested from application program 22, OS software 24, MDD device driver 26, BIOS software 28, OS kernel 139, modular device driver 137, and BIOS 135, via a set of access paths 34-48. The protection subsystem monitors access requests and allow/deny access to the secure hard disk. The monitoring is done by identifying requests that have addresses, for example, address of peripheral devices, OS kernel 139, BIOS 135 and memory ... that is associated with software address and access privilege (see col. 6 lines 20-68, and col. 9 lines 3-col. 10 lines 67).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3.1 Claims 1-7, 9-17, 19-27, and 29-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Compaq Computer Corporation, Hewlett-Packard Company, IBM Corporation, Intel corporation and Microsoft Corporation, published on September 9, 2001, herein after (CHIIM) in view of Kuznetsov et al. USPN 5,483,649.

As per claim 1, CHIIM discloses a method comprising:

generating at least one descriptor (figure 7-1; *descriptors of RSDT table, FACP table, DSDT table, ACPI, e.g. FIRM*) in a pre-boot environment (section 7.2 line 5; *pre-boot entities including ACPI*);

storing the at least one descriptor (figure 7-1; *descriptors of RSDT table, FACP table, DSDT table, ACPI*) in a resource protection list (*RSDT table, FACP table, DSDT table, ACPI of fig. 7-1*); and

storing the resource protection list in a location accessible in a post-boot environment (sections 7.2 and 7.1; *storage of data/code using ACPI in a pre-boot and post-boot OS is reading these data*).

CHIIM discloses an ACPI table usage and post-boot OS accessing/reading data of ACPI that is stored in a pre-boot environment and instantiation of event log array structures comprising hash within validation certificate for validation (see sections 7.2, and 7.2.1-7.2.2.2.3), CHIM also

discloses a security properties of a platform protection profile (section 1.3.1), and PCR register usage that define PCR assignments used for boot time integrity metrics and methodology for collecting the metrics (section 2.2). CHIIM fails assigning each of the plurality of descriptors to a respective one of a plurality of memory ranges during the pre-book environment, wherein each of the descriptors is indicative of a corresponding protection policy for its one of the memory ranges. Kuznetsov et al. discloses assigning each of the plurality of descriptors to a respective one of a plurality of memory ranges during the pre-book environment, wherein each of the descriptors is indicative of a corresponding protection policy for its one of the memory ranges (see col. 6 lines 20-68, and col. 9 lines 3-col. 10 lines 67), and moreover Kuznetsov discloses a computer security system comprising defined software access privilege (col. 16 lines 39-41; descriptor) in a passive operating mode (col. 17 lines 25-29; pre-boot) that is associated with access rights, comprising software privilege of changing files and privilege of writing, (col. 17 lines 10-19; software protection policy) using protection program support module (fig. 8 element 120B).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the teachings of Kuznetsov et al. within the system of CHIIM because they are analogous in platform protection. One would have been motivated to incorporate the teachings of establishing a protection policy for a firmware by generating a descriptor in a pre-boot environment because it would authenticate software access to provide or deny access to protected resources based on software privileges, before/after operating system is executed wherein the hardware software address is used to identify the access request.

Regarding claim 11, it recites an apparatus claim and has similar limitations as claim 1, and it is being rejected based on the same rational as claim 1. In addition, CHIIM teaches the additional limitations of claim 11 wherein

a processor system (6.2.3 and fig. 1-1; *OS processor*); and
a memory communicatively coupled to the processor system, the memory including stored instructions that enable the processor system to perform the method claim 1 (6.3.2.5, fig. 1-1, and 7.1; *hard disk memory*).

Regarding claim 21, it recites a computer readable medium claim and has similar limitations as claim 1, and it is being rejected based on the same rational as claim 1.

Regarding claim 31, it recites an apparatus claim and has similar limitations as claim 1, and it is being rejected based on the same rational as claim 1. In addition, CHIIM teaches the additional limitations of claim 31 wherein

a processor system (6.2.3 and fig. 1-1; *OS processor*); and
a flash memory communicatively coupled to the processor system, the flash memory including stored instructions that enable the processor system to perform the method of claim 1 (6.3.2.5, fig. 1-1, 3.1, and 2.2.3; *ROM*).

As per claims 2, 12 and 22, the combination teaches a method/apparatus/medium further comprising initializing each of the memory ranges during the pre-boot environment to be a firmware resource (CHIIM page 25 section 6.1 and (see col. 6 lines 20-68, and Kuznetsov et al.

col. 9 lines 3-col. 10 lines 67). The rationale for combining are the same as claim 1 above.

As per claims 3, 13, and 24, CHIIM further discloses a method/apparatus/medium further comprising, for each descriptor, generating at least one hash code based on that descriptor descriptor (7.2, 6.1, 6.2.3, and 6.3.2.1; *code hashing storing in ACPI*).

Regarding claims 4, 14, and 25, CHIIM discloses a method/apparatus/medium further comprising storing the at least one hash code in a trusted protection module platform configuration register (7.2 and 6.3.2.1; *TCG_HashLogExtendEvent hash code stored within ACPI data area that is defined in fig. 7-1*).

Regarding claims 5, 15, and 26, CHIIM discloses a method/apparatus/medium further comprising storing descriptors in an advanced configuration and power interface differentiated system descriptor table (6.3 and figure 7-1; *ACPI*).

As per claims 6, 16, 23, and 32, the combination discloses a method/apparatus/medium wherein each of the memory ranges includes a register region, a firmware data memory region, a firmware code memory region, or hand-off information memory region (CHIIM 6.2.3; *handoff code*).

As per claim 7, CHIIM discloses a method/apparatus/medium wherein the pre-boot environment comprises executing at least one of a basic input output system or an extensible firmware

interface (sections 6.1-6.2.2; *BIOS and extending code interface execution*).

Regarding claims 9, 20, and 30, CHIIM teaches a method/apparatus/medium, further comprising establishing a resource protection policy in the post-boot environment based on the resource protection list (CHIIM section 7.2).

Regarding claims 10, 19, and 29, CHIIM discloses a method/apparatus/medium, further comprising enabling the resource protection list to be validated in the post-boot environment (section 7.2-7.2.2.2.3).

As per claims 17 and 27, CHIIM discloses an apparatus wherein the instructions stored in the memory enable the processor system to execute at least one of a basic input output system or an extensible firmware interface in the pre-boot environment (sections 6.1-6.2.2; *BIOS and extending code interface execution in a pre boot*).

3.2 Claims 8, 18, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Compaq Computer Corporation, Hewlett-Packard Company, IBM Corporation, Intel corporation and Microsoft Corporation, published on September 9, 2001, herein after (CHIIM) and Kuznetsov et al. USPN 5,483,649, and further in view of McDonnal et al. USPN 5,796,825.

As per claims 8, 18, and 28, CHIIM and Kuznetsov et al. teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition, CHIIM and Kuznetsov et al. disclose a method wherein storing the resource

protection list comprises storing the resource protection list in a location accessible by an operating system in the post-boot environment (CHIIM section 7.2, and Kuznetsov et al. col. 15 lines 30-64). CHIIM and Kuznetsov et al. fail to teach a method wherein storing the resource protection list comprises storing the resource protection list in a location accessible by at least one of a secure virtual machine monitor (VMM) and/or in the post-boot environment. However McDonnal et al. teaches a VMM with privileged space memory (fig. 1 element 140), boot control file (fig. 1 element 152) that stores protected/encrypted files and unprotected files (fig. 1 elements 153, and 160) for boot access protection and VMM of win32-compliant executing/accessing encrypted/protected files (col. 13 lines 63-col. 14 lines 15), after the loading of OS kernel (col. 13 lines 6-7, and col. 12 lines 42-col. 14 lines 15).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ the teachings of VMM within the combination system of CHIIM and Kuznetsov et al. because they are analogous in boot access protection. One would have been motivated to do so because it would use secure virtual machine monitor to access protection list/boot control file and protect a resource.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eleni A. Shiferaw whose telephone number is 571-272-3867. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nasser R. Moazzami can be reached on (571) 272-4195. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,428
Art Unit: 2136

Page 10

/Eleni A Shiferaw/

Examiner, Art Unit 2136

/Nasser G Moazzami/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2136